



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/769,815

02/03/2004

Byung Hyun An

3449-0302P

9530

2292 7590 08/20/2008
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

PIZIALI, JEFFREY J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2629

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/20/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/769,815	Applicant(s) AN, BYUNG HYUN	
	Examiner JEFF PIZALI	Art Unit 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 and 20-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 and 20-27 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-17, 26, and 27, drawn to an apparatus (claims 1 and 3-14), an apparatus (claim 2), an apparatus (claims 15-17), an apparatus (claim 26), and an apparatus (claim 27), classified in class 345, subclass 205 (e.g., control devices and display elements sharing structural elements).
 - II. Claims 20-25, drawn to a method for processing (claims 20-21) and a method for processing (claims 22-25), classified in class 345, subclass 98 (e.g., specific display element control methods).

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h).

Art Unit: 2629

(1) In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (*the method of claims 20-25*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claims 1 and 3-14*).

For example, the process as claimed (*the method of claims 20-21*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claims 1 and 3-14*) not including at least "***a memory having plural storage sections; a Micom configured to control the display device, and to output a selection signal and a vertical synchronizing signal; and a comparator configured to compare the selection signal with the vertical synchronizing signal, and to output a storage related signal to the micom, and wherein the micom is configured to use the storage related signal to determine a storage section in the memory for storing image signal corresponding to the selection signal***" as claimed in independent claim 1 (*lines 10-16*).

In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (*the method of claims 20-25*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claim 2*).

For example, the process as claimed (*the method of claims 20-21*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claim 2*) not including at least "***a Micom configured to control the display, to output a selection signal and to generate a vertical synchronizing signal, and to output a storage related signal corresponding to a comparison of the selection signal and the vertical synchronizing signal; and a memory configured to save an image signal corresponding to the selection signal in accordance with the storage related signal***" as claimed in independent claim 2 (*lines 9-13*).

Art Unit: 2629

In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (*the method of claims 20-25*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claims 15-17*).

For example, the process as claimed (*the method of claims 20-21*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claims 15-17*) not including at least **"a memory having plural storage sections a Micom for configured to control the display, and to output a selection signal inputted by user and to output a vertical synchronizing signal; a comparator configured to compare the selection signal with the vertical synchronizing signal and to output a storage related signal to the micom, and wherein the micom is configured to use the storage related signal to determine a storage section in the memory for storing an image signal corresponding to the selection signal; an A/D converter, under the control of the Micom, for configured to convert input analog image signals from the amplifier of the display device to digital image signals; and a scaler configured to convert an input signals from the A/D converter to a displayable format"** as claimed in independent claim 15 (*lines 10-20*).

In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (*the method of claims 20-25*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claim 26*).

For example, the process as claimed (*the method of claims 20-21*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claim 26*) not including at least **"a memory including plural storage sections; a Micom configured to control the display device, and to output a selection signal and a vertical synchronizing signal; and a comparator**

Art Unit: 2629

configured to compare the selection signal with the vertical synchronizing signal and, if logic levels of the selection signal and the vertical synchronizing signal are equal, output a first command signal identifying a storage section in the memory, and wherein the Micom is arranged to save an image signal corresponding the selection signal in the storage section in response to the first command signal, and to end storage of the image signal in response to a second command signal outputted from the comparator" as claimed in independent claim 26 (lines 4-12).

In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (*the method of claims 20-25*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claim 27*).

For example, the process as claimed (*the method of claims 20-21*) can be practiced with another materially different product (*than the apparatus of claim 27*) not including at least "*a memory including plural storage sections; a micom configured to control the apparatus, and to output a vertical synchronizing signal inputted from the device and a selection signal generated from the apparatus; and a comparator configured to compare the selection signal with the vertical synchronizing signal and output a storage related signal to the micom identifying a storage section for storing an image signal corresponding to the selection signal*" as claimed in independent claim 27 (lines 3-8).

(2) In the instant case, the product as claimed (*in claims 1-17, 26, and 27*) can be used in a materially different process of using that product (*than the method of claims 20-25*).

Art Unit: 2629

For example, the product as claimed (*in claims 1-17, 26, and 27*) can be used in a materially different process of using that product (*than the method of claims 20-25*) without, "***a system having a computer for processing data and a display device with an amplifier for amplifying input signals from the computer and a controller,***" as claimed in independent claims 20 and 22 (*lines 1-3*).

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected

Art Unit: 2629

process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFF PIZIALI whose telephone number is (571)272-7678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeff Piziali/
Examiner, Art Unit 2629
12 August 2008